



Updates & Innovations in Essential Conversations for the Health Care Team

Session Topic: **Essential Conversations: Talking to Adults Who Have Challenges in Articulating their Wishes in Medical Care**

Presenter: Camara Van Breemen

Wednesday October 15th, 12:00pm - 1:00pm

| Agenda Item | Discussion |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Introduction & Key Themes | <p><u>Introduction:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presentation focuses on communication strategies, inclusion, shared decision-making, and the ethical responsibility to ensure people with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) are included in understanding and participating in decisions about their care. |
| Presentation Key points | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <u>Understanding Serious Illness and the Role of Advance Care Planning (ACP)</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Definition: Serious illness carries a high risk of death within a year, significantly impacts quality of life, and burdens both patients and families. • Purpose of ACP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Enhance quality of life and reduce psychological distress. ○ Enable informed and values-based end-of-life decisions. • Communication Principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ ACP is an ongoing, cyclical conversation that deepens over time. ○ Builds resilience and prognostic awareness for patients and families. ❖ <u>Communicating with People with Differing Abilities</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support individuals with different intellectual or verbal abilities to participate meaningfully. • Incorporate play therapy and non-verbal tools to interpret emotions and support understanding. • Conversations should be adaptive, repetitive, and inclusive, tailored to each person's communication capacity. ❖ <u>Canuck Place "Zones of Health" Framework</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orange Zone: Medically stable but at high risk of deterioration (e.g., organ decline, metastases). • Red Zone: Indicates critical instability or rapid decline. • Zones guide when to initiate or revisit ACP discussions. • Two key factors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Medical fragility (likelihood of sudden decline). ○ Care stability (frequency of interventions and symptom needs). |

❖ **Understanding Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (IDD)**

- **Definition:** Significant limitations in intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior (social/practical skills).
- **Common conditions:** Down syndrome, autism, genetic disorders.
- **Challenges in Care:**
 - Difficulty distinguishing pain vs. emotional distress in non-verbal individuals.
 - Under-treatment of pain (e.g., limited opioid use).
 - Assumptions that individuals cannot participate in planning.
 - Limited integration between palliative and developmental care specialists.

❖ **Barriers and Inequities**

- Persistent discrimination and exclusion in palliative care.
- Clinicians may avoid difficult conversations due to uncertainty about communication.
- Evidence shows that people with IDD want information about illness and dying; open communication is appropriate and beneficial.

❖ **Rights and Ethical Principles**

- People with IDD and children have the right to:
 - Understand their condition to the best of their ability.
 - Know what to expect and prepare emotionally.
 - Share preferences and be involved in care decisions.
- Health teams must respect developmental levels and expressed preferences.

❖ **Assessing Understanding and Capacity**

- Individuals with IDD vary widely in awareness and communication ability.
- Assess both present awareness and capacity for future-oriented thinking.
- Avoid a binary view of “capable or not capable”; decision-making exists on a spectrum.

Spectrum of Involvement Example:

- Not able/willing to participate → Caregiver makes decisions.
- Shares opinions through caregiver.
- Participates with support.
- Has full control of decisions.

❖ **Legal vs. Trusted Decision-Makers**

- Legal guardians may not be the ones who know the individual best.
- Identify trusted allies (caregivers, support workers, nurses) who understand daily needs.
- Before ACP discussions:
 - Clarify who communicates best with the person.

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Determine who should participate in discussions. ○ Identify those who understand the whole care picture. |
| Group Discussion, Q&A | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <u>Case Example: Dylan</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Profile: 20-year-old with leukodystrophy, living between home and a care facility. • Situation: Multiple ICU admissions indicate he’s in the orange/red zone. • Ethical Challenge: Balancing aggressive medical interventions with quality of life and family well-being. • Lesson: Care must prioritize comfort, dignity, and awareness capacity. ❖ <u>Communication Approaches</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use visual aids (photos, drawings, diagrams). • Simplify explanations using familiar or symbolic stories (e.g., superheroes, family routines). • Ask concrete questions rather than abstract ones. • Focus on present choices (e.g., comfort vs. procedure). • Use assistive communication tools like <i>Beyond Words</i>. • Encourage life review and legacy work to strengthen connection and meaning. ❖ <u>Broadening the Care Lens</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include all voices: patient, family, caregivers, and staff. • Allocate more time for relational discussions. • Use reflective tools like “Wish, Worry, Wonder” to balance hope with realism. • Explore trade-offs by identifying what makes a “good day” or what is intolerable. ❖ <u>Reframing Critical Experiences and Trade-Offs</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replace “critical abilities” with “meaningful experiences” — what matters most to the person. • Reframe “trade-offs” as “setbacks” to make language more accessible to families. • Example prompts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ “What are three things that make life meaningful?” ○ “If your child had a setback, what would be worth trying?” ○ “What would cause more harm than good?” • Set clear endpoints for treatment trials and regularly revisit plans. ❖ <u>Ethical Decision-Making and Conflict Resolution</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When preferences differ between patient and caregivers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Hold separate discussions to explore understanding, fears, and hopes. ○ Bring parties together to identify agreements and disagreements. ○ Clarify stopping points for care escalation. ○ Involve ethics, social work, or psychology teams when needed. |

| | |
|------------------|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Addressing System-Level Gaps • Example: A patient with an M1 MOST form denied hospital transfer due to misinterpretation. • Kamara emphasized: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ M1 assumes access to full palliative support- if unavailable, use M2. ○ Provide education for paramedics and clinicians on contextual MOST use. ○ Regularly review and correct inappropriate documentation. ❖ Clinical and System Supports • Connect Place offers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 24-hour consultation for youth and young adults with serious illness. ○ Ethical and communication guidance for complex cases. ○ Support for bridging pediatric and adult palliative systems. ❖ Overarching Key Takeaways • ACP is relational, iterative, and context-driven; not a one-time event. • Avoid binary views of capacity; engagement is fluid. • Combine legal clarity with trusted relational insight. • Use creative, individualized communication strategies. • Integrate equity, empathy, and inclusion in every discussion. • Prioritize dignity, autonomy, and emotional safety for all patients. |
| Resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Video link |